

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 10/077,599 02/15/2002 Hirokazu Hisano GK/48 1547 7590 12/29/2004 **EXAMINER** W. L. Gore & Associates, Inc. AHMAD, NASSER 551 Paper Mill Road ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. Box 9206 Newark, DE 19714-9206 1772

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	١	1
u	ч	y

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/077,599	HISANO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nasser Ahmad	1772			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 September 2004</u> .					
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 20</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 20</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	epted or b) objected to by the B	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Rejections Withdrawn

- 1. Claims 1-6 and 12 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by The English Translation of EP Application: 84112904.2 in view of applicant's amendment filed on September 27, 2004.
- 2. Claims 1-13 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a)_ as being unpatentable over The English Translation of the EP Application in view of Hamilton in view of the amendment.

Withdrawn Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 14 objected for reasons mentioned in the last Office Action of November 20, 2003.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 and 20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-2, 8-13 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mills (5964465).

Mills relates to a sealing material comprising a tape (24) comprising a plurality of expanded porous polytetrafluoroethylene films (figure-4) and comprising a height and a width, wherein said tape would exhibit a height greater than its width when rolled into an

Art Unit: 1772

annular gasket (figure-3). The laminate has end faces (26 and 34) along said height. The laminate includes at least one layer of polymeric film interposed in the laminate (col. 5, lines 59-64) which would exhibit fluid penetration prevention. The phase "layer ...may comprise other than PTFE material" infers to the presence of material such as PTFE film. Since the film is formed by extrusion, it would be stretched and hence, in compacted form. The laminate layers are self-adhered to each other. Figures-8A and 8B shows that the laminate is joined at the longitudinal beginning and end to form a closed ring. Col. 5, lines 48-50 teaches that the laminate includes material to render it elastomeric.

The phrase "adapted to" has not been given patentable weight because said phrase is not found to be of positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform.

The phrase "through sintering" has not been given patentable weight because it is directed to a process of making the laminate and a process of making the product is not germane to the issue of patentability of the product itself.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1772

7. Claims 1-4, 8-14 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mills in view of the English Translation of EP Application: 84112904.2.

Mills, as discussed above, fails to teach that the laminate has an adhesive component on one end face. The English Translation relates to a sealing material of expanded polytetrafluoroethylene having an adhesive component on one end face thereof and covered with a release liner (page-3, last paragraph) to provide for adhesive attachment of the end faces to form a gasket. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize the English Translation's teaching of using adhesive at the end faces in the invention of Mills with the motivation to provide for adhesive attachment capability to form a gasket.

Further, when the adhesive is exposed to join the ends, it would exhibit a double-sided adhesive tape element.

8. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mills in view of the English Translation and Hamilton (5486010).

Mills and the English Translation, as discussed above, fails to teach that the PTFE can be PTFE-HEP copolymer. Hamilton relates to a seal comprising a core of expanded porous PTFE and wrapped in a film of PTFE to prevent creeping and maintain the desired height to weight ratio (col. 7, lines 10-22). The PTFE can be PTFE-HEP copolymer, etc. (col. 10, lines 8-13). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize Hamilton's teaching of using PTFE-HEP

Art Unit: 1772

copolymer material as the laminate layer in the invention of Mills with the motivation to provide for self-adhereability.

Further, with regard to claim 5, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mills by providing a plurality of laminates to form a seal article having a greater height for improved sealing ability, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. V.* Bemis *Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is 571-272-1487. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM, and on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nasser Ahmad
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1772

N. Ahmad. December 27, 2004.